

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandrin, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspito.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/876,369	06/07/2001	•	Antoun Alexander Nabhan	9223-3	9156
20792 75	90 01/07/2004			EXAMINER	
MYERS BIGEL SIBLEY & SAJOVEC PO BOX 37428				MARSCHEL, ARDIN H	
RALEIGH, NC 27627				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1631	

DATE MAILED: 01/07/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		a				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Asticus Communication	09/876,369	NABHAN, ANTOUN ALEXANDER				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ardin Marschel	1631				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - if the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  is will be considered timely.  the mailing date of this communication.  iD (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 S	eptember 2003.	•				
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-66</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>16-52</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15 and 53-66</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-66</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document:  2. Certified copies of the priority document:  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language process.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti reference was included in the first sentence of the service of the foreign language process.	s have been received. s have been received in Application of the certified copies not received priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(ext sentence of the specification or evisional application has been received priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	on No  ed in this National Stage  ed.  e) (to a provisional application) in an Application Data Sheet.  eived.  and/or 121 since a specific				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1631

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Applicant's election with traverse of Group I (claims 1-15 and 53-66) in the Paper, filed 9/29/03, is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the supplied distinctness between Groups I and II does not appear to relate to the claimed invention as claims 16 and 24 do not require some type of data storage for clearhouse practice. This is not found persuasive because consideration of both of claims 16 and 24 reveals that data is supplied to a data clearinghouse which is exactly contrary to the traversal argument of applicants. Thus, the argument is an allegation which lacks any correspondence with the facts of the Group II claim requirements and therefore non-persuasive.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

## PRIOR ART

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-15 and 53-66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Freeman, Jr., et al. (P/N 6,012,035).

The reference summarizes the invention in the title and abstract as being directed to a system and method for health care delivery management. In the SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION section in columns 2-3, the invention is summarized further as being directed to centralizing and automating by computer the health case

delivery process amongst numerous entities that are involved. In columns 4-10, the coordination of payor payments to cover the expenses of physician's, hospitals, laboratories, etc. is described. The payor may be either the patient or insurance companies that are involved. The laboratories which are part of this system are well known to be suppliers of bioinformatics data on the patient's health which is analyzed by a doctor. The compensation or payment of the laboratory data supplying function is included in the payment system which is coordinated as described by the invention.

Thus, it would have been obvious to someone of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention that the system methodology of Freeman, Jr., et al. coordinates the bioinformatics patient data supplying and payment therefore with physician analysis of the data as commonly is performed in such healthcare systems to result in the practice of the instantly claimed invention.

## REFERENCE OF INTEREST

Tarter et al. (P/N 5,550,734) is cited on the enclosed PTO Form 892 as being of interest to the instant invention but is deemed cumulative to the above rejection based on Freeman, Jr., et al.

No claim is allowed.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technical Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technical Center 1600 via the Central PTO Fax Center. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1988), 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993), and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993)(See 37 CFR § 1.6(d)). The Central PTO Fax Center number is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ardin Marschel, Ph.D., whose telephone number is

Application/Control Number: 09/876,369

Art Unit: 1631

Page 4

(703)308-3894. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8 A.M. to 4 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward, Ph.D., can be reached on (703)308-4028.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to Legal Instrument Examiner, Tina Plunkett, whose telephone number is (703)305-3524 or to the Technical Center receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

December 27, 2003

ARDIN H. MARSCHEL
PRIMARY EXAMINER